

Grade 7 • Unit 8 • Assessment Guide

Probability and Sampling

For the Parent or Teacher — What to look for

Unit 8 builds probability and sampling intuition. THE classic errors: confusing experimental and theoretical probabilities, treating small samples as definitive evidence, and biased sampling (asking only your friends what their favorite movie is). The deeper questions are: when is a sample 'good enough'? And when is a difference 'meaningful'? Watch for whether students compare differences to variability (MAD).

Problem 1 — Likelihood vocabulary

- (a) Impossible. (b) Equally likely as not. (c) Certain (in most places). (d) Equally likely as not ($26/52 = 1/2$).
- ★ Strong students give precise vocabulary. (b) and (d) should both = $1/2$.

Problem 2 — Spinner

- (a) $3/8 = 37.5\%$. (b) $4/8 = 1/2$. (c) $7/8$. (d) about 10 times.
- ★ Strong: confident with fraction-to-percent conversion. (d) tests expected value reasoning.

Problem 3 — Marble bag

- Total = 10. $P(\text{red}) = 5/10 = 1/2$. $P(\text{blue}) = 3/10$. $P(\text{red or blue}) = 8/10 = 4/5$.
- All add to 1 because every draw MUST be one of those colors (complete sample space).
- ★ The 'must equal 1' insight is the key sampling-completeness idea.

Problem 4 — Two coins

- Sample space: HH, HT, TH, TT. 4 outcomes.
- $P(\text{HH}) = 1/4$. $P(\text{at least one tail}) = 3/4$.
- ★ Watch for students who only list HH, HT, TT (3 outcomes) — forgetting that order matters.
- Or who say $P(\text{at least one tail}) = 1/2$ (the mistake of treating HT and TH as one outcome).

Problem 5 — Two dice

- Total: 36 outcomes (6×6).
- $P(\text{sum} = 7)$: $6/36 = 1/6$. (1+6, 2+5, 3+4, 4+3, 5+2, 6+1.)
- $P(\text{sum} = 12)$: $1/36$ (only 6+6).
- $P(\text{sum} > 9)$: $6/36 = 1/6$ (sums 10, 11, 12: combinations 4+6, 5+5, 6+4, 5+6, 6+5, 6+6 → 6 outcomes).
- ★ Strong: enumerates systematically (table or tree). Weak: misses outcomes or double-counts.
- ★ THE classic mistake: treating sums as equally likely (says $P(\text{sum}=2)=P(\text{sum}=7)$ — wrong).

Problem 6 — Lin's 13 heads in 20 flips

- (a) Experimental $P = 13/20 = 0.65$.
- (b) Theoretical = 0.5.
- (c) NOT yet evidence of unfairness. 20 flips is a small sample; getting 13 heads is well within normal variation. With many more flips, the experimental probability should approach 0.5.
- ★ This is the key idea: small samples are noisy. Strong: explicitly mentions sample size.
- Weak: 'yes, it's unfair' or 'definitely fair' — both are too definitive.

Problem 7 — Sampling bias

- The first 50 students are NOT representative — students who walk likely live closer and arrive earlier; students who drive or bus arrive later.
- Better methods: random sample (e.g., pick 50 random student IDs), stratified sample (sample equally from each grade), or sample at different times of day.
- ★ Strong: identifies that the SAMPLING METHOD creates bias (not just 'it's a small sample').

Problem 8 — Estimating population

- Sample: $45/60 = 75\%$.
- Estimate: 75% of 1200 = 900 students.
- ★ Strong: scales proportionally. Weak: just gives 45 as the answer (forgetting that $60 \neq 1200$).

Problem 9 — Meaningful difference?

- Difference of means: $84 - 78 = 6$.
- MAD is about 4.5 (average of 5 and 4).
- Difference (6) is bigger than MAD but not $2 \times$ MAD (which would be 9).
- Likely meaningful but not strongly so.
- ★ Strong: explicitly compares the difference to the variability. Weak: 'yes, 6 is a lot' with no comparison.
- The rule of thumb in IM: difference $> 2 \times$ MAD suggests meaningful.

Problem 10 — Han's 'rigged die'

- $P(5) = 1/6$. Expected: $10/6 \approx 1.67$ fives in 10 rolls.
- Han got 6 — far more than expected. Suggestive but NOT proof.
- ★ With only 10 rolls, even rare events happen. Need many more rolls to confidently conclude the die is rigged.
- Strong: probability of 6+ fives in 10 rolls is very small, but possible. Acknowledges both surprise AND uncertainty.
- Weak: 'yes the die is rigged' or 'no Han is silly' — both too extreme.

— end —